

IAB Tech Lab Ads.txt Specification Version 1.0.1

September 2017

About Ads.txt

The Ads.txt Specification was developed in the spring of 2017. This document is the final Ads.txt Specification Version 1.0.1; a peer-reviewed standard developed with the support of the OpenRTB working group. This document is available at <u>https://iabtechlab.com/ads-txt</u>.

About IAB Tech Lab

The IAB Technology Laboratory is an independent, international, nonprofit research and development consortium charged with producing and helping companies implement global industry technical standards. Comprised of digital publishers and ad technology firms, as well as marketers, agencies, and other companies with interests in the interactive marketing arena, the IAB Tech Lab's goal is to reduce friction associated with the digital advertising and marketing supply chain, while contributing to the safe and secure growth of the industry. The organization's governing member companies include AppNexus, Extreme Reach, Google, GroupM, Hearst Magazines Digital Media, Integral Ad Science, LinkedIn, Moat, Pandora, PubMatic, Sonobi, Tremor Video, and Yahoo! JAPAN. Established in 2014, the IAB Tech Lab is headquartered in New York City with an office in San Francisco.

Further details about the IAB Technology Lab can be found at https://iabtechlab.com.

Authors:

Neal Richter, CTO, Rakuten Marketing, and IAB Tech Lab OpenRTB Co-Chair George Levitte, Product Manager, Google

Other Significant Contributions Include:

Per Bjorke, Senior Product Manager, Google; Drew Bradstock SVP, Product, Index Exchange; Jim Butler VP Engineering, Publisher Platforms, AOL; Andrew Casale President & CEO, Index Exchange; Sam Cox, Group Product Manager, AdX BuySide and Policy, Google; Allen Dove CTO, SpotX; Alanna Gombert, SVP, Technology & Ad Operations, IAB, General Manager, IAB Tech Lab; Tamer Hassan, CTO, White Ops; Dan Kaminsky, Chief Scientist and Founder, White Ops; Curt Larson, VP Product, Sharethrough; Pierre Nicolas, Product Manager, Criteo; Rachel Nyswander Thomas, Vice President, Operations and Policy, Trustworthy Accountability Group (TAG); Shree Madhavapeddi, Product Manager, Google; Brian O'Kelley, CEO, AppNexus; Bill Simmons, CTO, DataXu; Jud Spencer, Principal Lead Software Engineer, The Trade Desk; Scott Spencer, Director of Sustainable Ads, Google; Sam Tingleff VP, Buyer Engineering, Rubicon Project; Ian Trider Director, RTB Platform Operations, Centro; Mike Zaneis, CEO, Trustworthy Accountability Group (TAG)

IAB Tech Lab Contact:

Jennifer Derke, Director of Product, Programmatic & Data, IAB Tech Lab <u>openRTB@iabtechlab.com</u>

Table of Contents

About Ads.txt	1
About IAB Tech Lab	1
1. ABSTRACT	3
2. INTRODUCTION	4
2.1 CHANGE LOG	4
3. SPECIFICATION	4
3.1 ACCESS METHOD	5
3.2 FILE FORMAT	6
3.3 THE DATA RECORD	6
3.4 SYNTAX DEFINITION	7
3.4.1 COMMENTS	7
3.4.2 THE RECORD	7
3.4.3 EXTENSION FIELDS	8
3.5 VARIABLE DECLARATION RECORDS	8
3.5.1 SUPPORTED VARIABLES	8
3.6 EXPIRATION	9
4. EXAMPLES	9
4.1 SINGLE SYSTEM DIRECT	9
4.2 SINGLE SYSTEM RESELLER	9
4.3 MULTIPLE SYSTEMS AND RESELLERS	10
4.4 CONTACT RECORDS	10
4.5 SUBDOMAIN REFERRAL	10
5. IMPLEMENTER'S NOTES	11
5.1 VERSION	11
5.2 GUIDANCE BY PARTY	11
5.2.1 SSP/EXCHANGE	11
5.2.2 DSP	11
5.2.3 PUBLISHERS	11
5.3 INTEROPERABILITY	12
5.4 SECURITY	12
5.5 SUBDOMAINS	12
5.6 ADS.TXT CRAWLERS	12
6. SCOPE AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS	13
6.1 SCOPE	13
6.2 OPEN ISSUES	13
6.3 FUTURE DIRECTIONS	13

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	13
8. REFERENCES	13

1. ABSTRACT

As part of a broader effort to eliminate the ability to profit from counterfeit inventory in the open digital advertising ecosystem, ads.txt provides a mechanism to enable content owners to declare who is authorized to sell their inventory.

2. INTRODUCTION

For brevity, we'll assume readers are already familiar with the problem of fraud in ad tech and its vast scale [1][2][3]. Fraud can come in various forms, here we are concentrating on the form wherein ad inventory is being offered to buyers with a misrepresented label and account during the real-time bidding process. Typically the domain of the webpage, or the ID of the mobile app has been falsified to look like a site or app they do not have authorization to sell.

Here, we propose a new standard to enable content owners to explicitly declare a set of advertising systems and resellers who are authorized to sell their inventory. This will enable buyers to acquire advertising space through safe supply chains via authorized entities.

Version	Date	Changes
1.0	June 2017	First Version
1.0.1	September 2017	Minor revisions based upon community feedback. Clarifications in 3.1 and adding support for contact and subdomain variables in 3.2, 3.5, 4.4, 4.5, and 5.5.

2.1 CHANGE LOG

3. SPECIFICATION

This memo specifies a mechanism for publisher content distributors to publicly declare their authorized advertising systems and identifiers within those systems. It also describes the format for encoding the instructions to be consumed by advertising systems and their customers. Advertising systems should retrieve these declarations before buying or selling advertising claiming to be on the website.

This specification is specifically inspired by the robots.txt standard [5][6]. A key attribute is that the file is posted to the web serving system of the content, thus proving that the website authored the file. We refer the reader to various other advertising API specifications such as

IAB Tech Lab's OpenRTB [7] and Google's AdX API [8] for real-time ad space sales and IAB Tech Lab's OpenDirect [9] for non real-time sales.

3.1 ACCESS METHOD

Publishers should post the "/ads.txt" file on their root domain and any subdomains as needed. For the purposes of this document the "root domain" is defined as the "public suffix" plus one string in the name. Crawlers should incorporate Public Suffix list [16] to derive the root domain.

The declarations must be accessible via HTTP and/or HTTPS from the website that the instructions are to be applied to under a standard relative path on the server host: "/ads.txt" and and HTTP request header containing "Content-Type: text/plain". It may be advisable to additionally use "Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8" to signal UTF8 support.

It is also advisable to prefer HTTPS connections over HTTP when crawling ads.txt files. In any case where data is available at an HTTPS and an HTTP connection for the same URL, the data from HTTPS should be preferred.

For convenience we will refer to this resource as the "/ads.txt" file, though the resource need in fact not originate from a file-system.

If the server response indicates Success (HTTP 2xx Status Code,) the advertising system must read the content, parse it, and utilize the declarations.

If the server response indicates an HTTP/HTTPS redirect (301, 302, 307 status codes), the advertising system should follow the redirect and consume the data as authoritative for the source of the redirect, if and only if the redirect is within scope of the original root domain as defined above. Multiple redirects are valid as long as each redirect location remains within the original root domain. For example an HTTP to HTTPS redirect within the same root domain is valid.

Only a single HTTP redirect to a destination outside the original root domain is allowed to facilitate one-hop delegation of authority to a third party's web server domain. If the third party location returns a redirect, then the advertising system should treat the response as an error. A future version may address other delegation of authority to a third-party web server. Any other redirect should be interpreted as an error and ignored.

If the server response indicates the resource is restricted (HTTP 401) the advertising system should seek direct contact with the site for authorization keys or clarification.

If the server response indicates the resource does not exist (HTTP Status Code 404), the advertising system can assume no declarations exist and that no advertising system is unauthorized to buy and sell ads on the website. For any other HTTP error encountered for a

URL which the crawler previously found data, the last successfully retrieved data set should be utilized.

3.2 FILE FORMAT

The data is encoded as a formatted plain text object, described here. The HTTP Content-type should be 'text/plain', and all other Content-types should be treated as an error and the content ignored. A complete description of the syntax of this format is given in section 3.4 below.

The format logically consists of:

• A non-empty set of records, separated by line breaks. The records consist of a set of lines of the form:

<FIELD #1>, <FIELD #2>, <FIELD #3>, <FIELD #4>

or

<VARIABLE>=<VALUE>

- Lines starting with # symbol are considered comments and are ignored.
- Lines containing the data format have syntax defined in section 3.4
- Lines containing the variable format have syntax defined in section 3.5

If the file is absent of any valid records declaring authorized systems, then the advertising system can assume that no advertising system is authorized to buy and sell ads on the website.

3.3 THE DATA RECORD

The following defines the contents within each field. We refer to the IAB OpenRTB [7] and IAB OpenDirect [9] specs as needed.

FIELD	NAME	DESCRIPTION
Field #1	Domain name of the advertising system	(Required) The canonical domain name of the SSP, Exchange, Header Wrapper, etc system that bidders connect to. This may be the operational domain of the system, if that is different than the parent corporate domain, to facilitate WHOIS and reverse IP lookups to establish clear ownership of the delegate system. Ideally the SSP or Exchange publishes a document detailing what domain name to use.
Field #2	Publisher's Account ID	(Required) The identifier associated with the seller

		or reseller account within the advertising system in field #1. This must contain the same value used in transactions (i.e. OpenRTB bid requests) in the field specified by the SSP/exchange. Typically, in OpenRTB, this is publisher.id. For OpenDirect it is typically the publisher's organization ID.
Field #3	Type of Account/ Relationship	(Required) An enumeration of the type of account. A value of 'DIRECT' indicates that the Publisher (content owner) directly controls the account indicated in field #2 on the system in field #1. This tends to mean a direct business contract between the Publisher and the advertising system. A value of 'RESELLER' indicates that the Publisher has authorized another entity to control the account indicated in field #2 and resell their ad space via the system in field #1. Other types may be added in the future. Note that this field should be treated as case insensitive when interpreting the data.
Field #4	Certification Authority ID	(Optional) An ID that uniquely identifies the advertising system within a certification authority (this ID maps to the entity listed in field #1). A current certification authority is the Trustworthy Accountability Group (aka TAG), and the TAGID would be included here [11].

Note that if a parent company is operating multiple distinct SSP/Exchanges, the domain in field #1 should refer to the domain of the RTB connection that the bidder is receiving bid requests from.

3.4 SYNTAX DEFINITION

3.4.1 COMMENTS

Comment are denoted by the character "#". Any line containing "#" should inform the data consumer to ignore the data after the "#" character to the end of the line.

3.4.2 THE RECORD

The core syntax is a comma separated format with three defined fields and one record per line.

The consumer systems should ignore any sequence of whitespace or tabs. If the data is obviously corrupted or malformed the contents of the file should be ignored. No field should contain tabs, commas or whitespace, otherwise it should be escaped with URL encoding [13].

Individual records are separated by an end-of-line marker. The consumer systems should liberally interpret CR, CRLF etc as a record separator.

The allowed identifiers in field #1 and by definition assumed to be valid DNS domain names obeying RFC 1123 [10], associated errata for RFC 1123 or subsuming RFCs.

Identifiers in field #2 can be strings or integers. For reference OpenRTB's publisher.id [14] is a string field.

3.4.3 EXTENSION FIELDS

Extension fields are allowed by implementers and their consumers as long as they utilize a distinct final separator field ";" before adding extension data to each record.

3.5 VARIABLE DECLARATION RECORDS

Any line containing a pattern of <VARIABLE>=<VALUE> should be interpreted as a variable declaration. The crawler should store the data associated with the root domain.

The <VARIABLE> is a string identifier without internal whitespace. The only supported separator is the equals sign '='. The <VALUE> is an open string that may contain arbitrary data.

The declaration line is terminated by the end-of-line marker. The consumer systems should liberally interpret CR, CRLF etc as a line separator.

For human readability it is recommended that variables be declared at the end of the file, but this is not a strict requirement and should not be assumed by crawlers.

3.5.1 SUPPORTED VARIABLES

The following variables are officially supported. Other variables may be added in the future. If the crawler finds multiple lines with the same variable it should read and store all of them associated with the root domain.

VARIABLE	VALUE	DESCRIPTION
CONTACT	Contact information	(Optional) Some human readable contact information for the owner of the file. This may be the contact of the advertising operations team for the website. This may be an email address, phone number, link to a contact form, or other suitable means of communication.
SUBDOMAIN	Pointer to a subdomain file	(Optional) A machine readable subdomain pointer to a subdomain within the root domain, on which

	an ads.txt can be found. The crawler should fetch and consume associate the data to the subdomain, not the current domain. This referral should be exempt from the public suffix truncation process. Only root domains should refer crawlers to subdomains. Subdomains should not refer to other subdomains.
--	--

3.6 EXPIRATION

Consuming systems of /ads.txt should cache the files, but if they do they must periodically verify the cached copy is fresh before using its contents.

Standard HTTP cache-control mechanisms can be used by both origin server and robots to influence the caching of the /ads.txt file. Specifically consumers and replicators should take note of HTTP Expires header set by the origin server.

If no cache-control directives are present consuming systems should default to an expiry of 7 days.

4. EXAMPLES

As defined above there are three required fields. The optional certification authority ID field is included in some of the examples.

4.1 SINGLE SYSTEM DIRECT

The first example is a website with only one authorized system that is directly controlled/operated by the website owner.

http://example.com/ads.txt

```
greenadexchange.com, XF7342, DIRECT, 5jyxf8k54
```

4.2 SINGLE SYSTEM RESELLER

The second example is a website with only one authorized system that is operated by a separate company doing resale of inventory. Their advertising system has not been independently certified, so no the optional fourth field is omitted.

http://example.com/ads.txt

redssp.com, 57013, RESELLER

4.3 MULTIPLE SYSTEMS AND RESELLERS

The third example is a website with multiple authorized systems and multiple resellers. Some of their authorized advertising systems are independently certified and have an ID issued.

http://example.com/ads.txt

Ads.txt file for example.com: greenadexchange.com, 12345, DIRECT, d75815a79 silverssp.com, 9675, RESELLER, f496211 blueadexchange.com, XF436, DIRECT orangeexchange.com, 45678, RESELLER silverssp.com, ABE679, RESELLER

4.4 CONTACT RECORDS

The fourth example is a website with multiple authorized systems and multiple contact records.

http://example.com/ads.txt

Ads.txt file for example.com: greenadexchange.com, 12345, DIRECT, d75815a79 blueadexchange.com, XF436, DIRECT contact=adops@example.com contact=http://example.com/contact-us

4.5 SUBDOMAIN REFERRAL

The fifth example is a website that refers the crawler to a subdomain with a different set of authorized systems. The crawler should take the subdomain as another URL to fetch data from and associate to the subdomain and NOT the parent domain.

http://example.com/ads.txt

Ads.txt file for example.com: greenadexchange.com, 12345, DIRECT, d75815a79 blueadexchange.com, XF436, DIRECT subdomain=divisionone.example.com

http://divisionone.example.com/ads.txt

Ads.txt file for divisionone.example.com: silverssp.com, 5569, DIRECT, f496211 orangeexchange.com, AB345, RESELLER

5. IMPLEMENTER'S NOTES

5.1 VERSION

This is version 1.0.1 of the specification and every attempt will be made to make future versions backward compatible if possible.

5.2 GUIDANCE BY PARTY

5.2.1 SSP/EXCHANGE

SSPs and exchanges should decide which canonical domain they wish to be used in field #1. They should make documentation available to publishers and DSPs. Publisher-facing documentation should indicate how publishers can retrieve the appropriate ID for field #2. DSP-facing documentation should indicate which field in bid requests should be used by DSPs for checking against the ads.txt file.

It is recommended that any system creating OpenRTB bid requests place the seller's account ID in the Publisher.ID field. Also ensure that the Site.Domain field is populated with the domain that hosts an ads.txt file where the account ID is publicly posted.

Ideally, implementing SSPs/exchanges should provide a tool to generate the exact lines for a publisher to place in the ads.txt file. SSPs/exchanges should also consider crawling publishers' domains and notifying publishers (e.g. a warning in the publisher dashboard, e-mail, etc.) of the absence of an ads.txt file or the absence of appropriate declarations in the file.

5.2.2 DSP

DSPs should consult documentation provided by SSPs/exchanges as to the canonical domain used by the exchange (field #1) and the appropriate field in bid requests to be checked against ads.txt (field #2).

5.2.3 PUBLISHERS

Publishers should consult documentation provided by SSPs/exchanges as to the canonical domain used by the exchange (field #1) and the appropriate ID to place in field #2.

5.3 INTEROPERABILITY

Implementers should pay particular attention to the robustness in parsing of the /ads.txt file. It is expected that the /ads.txt files are created with automated systems or manual platform-specific text editors consumers of the data should be liberal in accepting files with different end-of-line conventions, specifically CR and LF in addition to CRLF and varying whitespace or field separation characters.

5.4 SECURITY

The /ads.txt declarations are retrieved and applied in separate, possibly unauthenticated HTTP transactions, and it is possible that one server can impersonate another or otherwise intercept a request for /ads.txt, and provide a consuming system with false information.

If this is a worry then the website owner should redirect unsecure http requests to https requests for the /ads.txt file.

5.5 SUBDOMAINS

When writing crawlers, implementers should request the /ads.txt from the root domains that are driving significant requests for advertising. Publishers should always post the /ads.txt file on their root domain. The crawler should strip the subdomains when creating the crawler's URL list. The public suffix list [12][16] should be utilized in implementing subdomain stripping.

In cases where specific subdomains have different authorized advertising systems, the publisher should post ads.txt files only on those subdomains and declare each of those subdomains explicitly in the ads.txt on the root domain using the "subdomain=" variable. Crawlers should only crawl for ads.txt files on subdomains that are listed using the "subdomain=" variable in the ads.txt on the root domain.

When the ads.txt file on the root domain declares a subdomain and an ads.txt file exists on that subdomain, only advertising systems listed in the subdomain ads.txt are authorized to sell inventory on that subdomain. When the ads.txt on the root domain doesn't declare a subdomain or when an ads.txt does not exist on the subdomain, only the advertising systems listed in the root domain ads.txt are authorized to sell inventory on that subdomain.

5.6 ADS.TXT CRAWLERS

An reference implementation of an ads.txt data crawler can be found on github [15].

Crawlers that may want to crawl publisher content beyond ads.txt and read and display publisher content, advertisements, and connected metadata may do so if not prohibited by the instructions in robots.txt.

6. SCOPE AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

6.1 SCOPE

Scope of this initial version of this standard is to define a mechanism to define authorized sellers for web content from the perspective of the domain owner, for the purpose of addressing some of the fraud scenarios related to counterfeit inventory.

6.2 OPEN ISSUES

Open issues to be considered for resolution in a future version of the spec should be brought to attention via contacting openrtb@iabtechlab.com or on the openrtb-dev@googlegroups.com mailing list.

6.3 FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Future directions include covering mobile apps and other non-web environments, allowed ad formats, syndication, and delegating authority to a third party.

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the original authors of the robots.txt [5][6] file for providing inspiration. We would also like to thank numerous people within the IAB Tech Lab, TAG and multiple companies for their comments on the early drafts and supporting the initiative.

8. REFERENCES

- 1. <u>https://techcrunch.com/2016/01/06/the-8-2-billion-adtech-fraud-problem-that-everyone-is-ignoring/</u>
- 2. http://adage.com/article/digital/ana-report-7-2-billion-lost-ad-fraud-2015/302201/
- 3. http://boingboing.net/2016/12/21/methbot-a-3m-5mday-video-a.html
- 4. <u>https://www.emarketer.com/Article/Ad-Industrys-Focus-on-Fraud-Has-</u> Intensified/1014430
- 5. <u>http://www.robotstxt.org/norobots-rfc.txt</u>
- 6. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Robots_exclusion_standard
- 7. https://www.iab.com/guidelines/real-time-bidding-rtb-project/
- 8. https://developers.google.com/ad-exchange/rtb/downloads
- 9. https://www.iab.com/guidelines/iab-opendirect-specification/
- 10. https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1123
- 11. https://www.tagtoday.net
- 12. https://publicsuffix.org/
- 13. https://www.w3schools.com/tags/ref_urlencode.asp
- 14. <u>http://www.iab.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/OpenRTB-API-Specification-Version-2-5-FINAL.pdf</u>

- 15. https://github.com/InteractiveAdvertisingBureau/adstxtcrawler
- 16. https://publicsuffix.org/list/public_suffix_list.dat